

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/719,069	11/21/2003	Brian Wehrung		2247	
7	590 03/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Scott D. Sanford, Esq.			SHAPIRO, J	SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A	
O'MELVENY Embarcadero C	& MYERS LLP Center West	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
275 Battery Street			3653	3653	
San Francisco, CA 94111-3305			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Ĺ

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/719,069	WEHRUNG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	-	
Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653		

Advisory Action	10//19,069	WEHRUNG ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
HE REPLY FILED <u>03 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  . ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection, wh	sichever is later. In			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since						
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).	ie appeai. Office			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
<u></u>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: 8,9 and 30-37.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ails to provide a (1).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	nea.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>			ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)				
13.  Other:		NE O. ORAWFORD				
		ORY PUTENT EXA	MINER			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's claims still read on the prior art of record as described in the final action of 1/3/06.